

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,438	CAPRELLA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2431	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ARAVIND K. MOORTHY. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brad Rometta. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 July 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 36 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The attorney agreed to amend claim 31 to correct antecedent basis issues with the "administrator devices" and the "administered devices". The attorney agreed to amend claims 36 and 49 to make clear which device was issuing signatures.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aravind K Moorthy/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)